IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.548 OF 2006.
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 500 OF 2006.

Sitaram Ramsukh Yadav. ... Applicant.
Versus.
State of Maharashtra & others. ... Respondents.

Shri M.V.Kini i/by Kinjal Haris for the Applicant.

Shri U.V.Nikam, A.P.P. for the Respondent-State.

CORAM : ABHAY S.OKA, J.

DATED : 20th November, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Advocate appearing for the Applicant and the learned A.P.P. for the State. Perused the record and the impugned Judgment. The conviction of the Applicant is under section 376 of the Indian Penal Code. The substantive sentence is R.I. for 7 years. The conviction is based on testimony of the prosecutrix who is a house-wife. Atleast one report of the Chemical Analyser fully supports the version of the prosecutrix.

2. No case is made out for grant of bail. The Application is rejected.

3. Hearing of the Appeal is expedited. Liberty to file private paper book. If the Appeal is not heard within a reasonable time, liberty to apply afresh for grant of bail.

Judge.